

Success By 6 Stage 2: Full Proposal Application 2008/2009 Reviewer Criteria Score Sheet

AGENCY: _____

PROGRAM: _____

Volunteer Application Evaluation			
Values	Score 1 to 5 points (circle <u>one</u>)	Weighted Factor	Total Score
<p>Statement of Need: How compelling is the case for investment in this program's clients and their needs?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the target population clear and the need for services demonstrated? Does the target population align with Success By 6's Focus Area of Supporting Parents and Families of Young Children (0 – 6)? Is supportive data for how this client need was determined provided? Does the application address if similar/the same services are provided by other agencies? What steps does the program take to ensure that clients are in the best program to fit their needs? <p><i>(Corresponds with section 3 of application)</i></p>	<p>5 = significantly exceeds expectations 4 = exceeds expectations 3 = meets expectations 2 = below expectations 1 = significantly below expectations</p>	x 3	
Comments:			
<p>Program Description: How well is the program designed?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the program description consistent with the agency's mission and desired outcomes? Is the service delivery and desired benefits of the program for young children (0-6) well explained? Is the program clearly aligned with the needs of the target population? Are best practice models for target population being utilized? Is the role of each collaborator or partner clearly explained? Does the program description clearly delineate the role (if applicable) of each collaborator/partner agency and provides proof of collaborations via support letters and/or, MOUs, etc.? <p><i>(Corresponds with section 3 of application)</i></p>	<p>5 = significantly exceeds expectations 4 = exceeds expectations 3 = meets expectations 2 = below expectations 1 = significantly below expectations</p>	x 5	
Comments:			

Values	Score 1 to 5 points (circle <u>one</u>)	Weighted Factor	Total Score
<p>Program Logic Model / Outcome Measurements: How well does the program define and deliver on outcomes for its clients?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does a logical connection exist among inputs, activities, outputs, and intended outcomes? Among outcomes, indicators, and data collection methods? Are the outcomes aligned with the Success By 6 Focus Area Supporting Parents and Families of Young Children (0-6) and with increasing School Readiness of Young children (0-6)? Do the activities and associated outcomes allow participants to increase or improve knowledge, skills, attitudes (initial outcome) or beliefs in order to change behavior and/or improve quality of life (intermediate outcomes)? Do the indicators (expressed in number and percent) address the outcomes, and are they participant focused? Is the data collection methodology, including measurement tools and time frames, clearly defined? Does it provide the appropriate data needed to measure each outcome? <p><i>(Corresponds with section 4 of the application)</i></p>	<p>5 = significantly exceeds expectations 4 = exceeds expectations 3 = meets expectations 2 = below expectations 1 = significantly below expectations</p>	x 5	
<p>Comments:</p>			
<p>Program Budget and Rationale: Does the budget accurately reflect and support the goals of the program?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the program budget consistent with the program design? Is the program budget reasonable for the number of clients to be served? Does the budget rationale clearly describe what Success By 6 is being asked to fund? Is there a clear plan of action provided should the full funding request NOT be met? <p><i>(Corresponds with sections 5 and 6 of the application)</i></p>	<p>5 = significantly exceeds expectations 4 = exceeds expectations 3 = meets expectations 2 = below expectations 1 = significantly below expectations</p>	x 4	
<p>Comments:</p>			
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE:			
<i>Maximum score possible:</i>			85